



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION N	ŧO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,118		03/11/2004		Jae-Woo Roh	ROHJ3003/EM	4676
23364	7:	590	02/17/2005		EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC					ASSAF, FAYEZ G	
625 SLA FOURTH			Ξ		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXA			22314	2872		
					DATE MAILED: 02/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

			- A '
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
_	10/797,118	ROH, JAE-WOO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fayez G. Assaf	2872	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	J. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tined the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
•	 nis action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	vance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 11 March 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the</li> </ul>	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati iority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	□	(070.442)	
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/797,118

Art Unit: 2872

## DETAILED ACTION

Page 1

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by (US 2003/0161246 A1).

Regarding claim 1, 8, 9 and 10, Chuang discloses a holographic apparatus comprising: a light source (10 of Fig. 1), a beam splitter (20 of Fig. 1), a mask (50 of Fig. 1) for modulating a signal beam to generate a modulated signal beam; a conical prism (70 of Fig. 1), which includes a cone portion a base portion (see Fig. 2 and 3), for refracting a reference beam (26 of Fig. 1) to generate a refracted reference beam, wherein the refracted reference beam interferes with the modulated signal beam (23 of Fig. 1) in a holographic medium (60 of Fig. 1) to thereby record data thereon, the base portion facing the holographic medium (see Fig. 2). Chaung discloses the conical mirror having a base portion facing the holographic medium. However, the prism is shown facing the opposite direction.

It would have been obvious, at the time the invention was made, to a person having ordinary skill in the art to position conical prism in any

Art Unit: 2872

manner, including the option of having the base facing the holographic medium, as long as the beam has a cylindrical symmetrical shape (see Abstract).

Regarding claim 2, Chuang inherently discloses the optical path of the refracted reference beam depending on a refractive index of the conical prism.

Regarding claims 3-7, Chuang is not explicit on changing the Base angle of the prism or the distance to the holographic medium for multiplexing; or bonding the value of the angle of incidence.

However, the optimization of such parameters is achieved by routine experimentation, which does not serve as basis for patentability.

It would have been obvious, at the time the invention was made, to a person having ordinary skill in the art to experiment with the values of the base angle, the distance to the holographic or the value of the angle of incidence so as to reduce intensity variations between reconstructed multiplexed holograms.

In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233,235.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Dickson (US 4,790,612)

Cho (US 2004/0190358 A1)

Yamatsu et al. (JP 2004-127352 A)

Application/Control Number: 10/797,118

Art Unit: 2872

## Conclusion

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fayez G. Assaf whose telephone number is (571) 272-2307. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tayes Assat

Fayez G. Assaf Primary Examiner Art Unit 2872

2/15/05